

# EXHIBIT B

IN THE STATE COURT OF GWINNETT COUNTY

STATE OF GEORGIA

JEREMY E. PHILLIPS,

Plaintiff,

v.

SFC GLOBAL SUPPLY CHAIN, INC.; JOHN  
DOE(S) 1-5; ABC CORPORATION(S) (1-5),

Defendants.

Civil Action File  
No. 23-C-03284-S5

**NOTICE OF REMOVAL**

COMES NOW SFC Global Supply Chain, Inc., defendant in the above-styled civil action, and provides the following notice:

In compliance with 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d), you are hereby notified of the filing of a Notice of Removal in this action with the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia, Atlanta Division. A copy of the Notice of Removal is attached hereto.

Respectfully submitted,

DREW, ECKL & FARNHAM, LLP

/s/ G. Randall Moody

---

G. RANDALL MOODY  
Georgia Bar No. 517702  
BRIAN W. JOHNSON  
Georgia Bar No. 394745

303 Peachtree Street, N.E.  
Suite 3500  
Atlanta, Georgia 30308  
(404) 885-1400 – phone  
(404) 876-0992 – fax  
[moodyr@deflaw.com](mailto:moodyr@deflaw.com)  
[johnsonb@deflaw.com](mailto:johnsonb@deflaw.com)

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

This is to certify that I have this day served a copy of the within and foregoing **NOTICE OF REMOVAL** upon all parties concerned via the court's e-filing system which will automatically send email notification of such filing to the attorneys or parties of record:

J. Craig Lewis  
MONGE & ASSOCIATES  
8205 Dunwoody Place  
Building 19  
Atlanta, Georgia 30350  
[craigl@monge.lawyer](mailto:craigl@monge.lawyer)  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*

This 6<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2023.

Respectfully submitted,

DREW, ECKL & FARNHAM, LLP

/S/ G. RANDALL MOODY

---

G. RANDALL MOODY  
Georgia Bar No. 517702  
BRIAN W. JOHNSON  
Georgia Bar No. 394745

J. Craig Lewis  
MONGE & ASSOCIATES  
8205 Dunwoody Place  
Building 19  
Atlanta, Georgia 30350  
[craigl@monge.lawyer](mailto:craigl@monge.lawyer)  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*

13549738v1  
32250-254741

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA  
ATLANTA DIVISION

JEREMY E. PHILLIPS,

Plaintiff,

v.

SFC GLOBAL SUPPLY CHAIN, INC.;  
JOHN DOE(S) 1-5, ABC  
CORPORATIONS(S) (1-5),

Defendants.

Civil Action File  
No.

**NOTICE OF REMOVAL**

COMES NOW SFC Global Supply Chain, Inc., and files this notice of removal, respectfully providing the Court with the following facts as ground for removal pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1441 and 1446:

1.

Plaintiff filed a lawsuit incorrectly naming one defendant and then substituting SFC Global Supply Chain, Inc. (hereinafter referred to as SFC) as defendant in *Jeremy E. Phillips v. SFC Global Supply Chain, Inc.; John Doe(s) 1-5; ABC Corporation(s) (1-5)*, Case No. 23-C-03284-S5, before the State Court of Gwinnett County, State of Georgia. Gwinnett County is within the Atlanta Division of this Court.

2.

Plaintiff is understood to be a citizen and resident of Georgia.

3.

Defendant SFC Global Supply Chain, Inc. is a Minnesota corporation with a principal place of business located at 115 West College Drive, Marshall, Minnesota. (Georgia Secretary of State Filings). For purposes of diversity, defendant SFC is a citizen of Minnesota. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(c)(1) (corporation is citizen of state of incorporation and state where it has its principal place of business for diversity purposes).

4.

The complaint also lists fictitious John Doe defendants. In determining whether a civil action is subject to removal on the basis of diversity jurisdiction, the citizenship of defendants sued under fictitious names shall be disregarded. 28 U.S.C. § 1441(b)(1); *Walker v. CSX Transp. Inc.*, 650 F.3d 1392, 1396 (11<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2011) (fictitious defendants were not considered for diversity purposes even though fictitious defendants were likely Georgia residents); *Sticher v. Indymac Fin. Servs., Inc.*, No. 1:13-CV-3961-RLV, 2014 WL 12284024, at \*1 (N.D. Ga. Jan. 27, 2014) (disregarding John Doe defendants for purposes of determining diversity of citizenship at removal).

Accordingly, all such fictitious defendants are disregarded for purposes of determining jurisdiction.

5.

Accordingly, there is complete diversity among the plaintiff (Georgia) and the defendant (Minnesota).

6.

Defendant submits that the amount in controversy exceeds the sum of \$75,000 exclusive of interest and costs. Plaintiff alleged at paragraph 18 of the complaint that plaintiff incurred an amount in excess of \$100,000 as a result of the incident on which the lawsuit is based. "If removal of a civil action is sought on the basis of the jurisdiction conferred by section 1332(a), the sum demanded in good faith in the initial pleading shall be deemed to be the amount in controversy[.]" 28 U.S.C. § 1446(c)(2). Where a pleading (such as the Complaint in the instant action) seeks an unspecified amount of damages, the defendant must show by "by a preponderance of the evidence that the amount in controversy more likely than not exceeds the jurisdictional amount." *Tapscott v. MS Dealer Serv. Corp.*, 77 F.3d 1353, 1356-57 (11th Cir. 1996). See also *Roe v. Michelin North Am., Inc.*, 613 F.3d 1058, 1062 (11<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2010); 28 U.S.C. § 1332(c)(2). A defendant need not "prove the amount in controversy beyond all doubt or to

banish all uncertainty about it." *Pretka v. Kolter City Plaza II, Inc.*, 608 F.3d 744, 752 (11<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2010).

6.

Reported jury verdicts show that the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000. For example, in *Papay v. Byrd*, 19-cv-337 (Superior Court of Harris County, April 14, 2021), the plaintiff received \$200,000 on claimed medical specials of approximately \$10,000 after a vehicle left inadvertently left in neutral rolled into the plaintiff. In the automobile accident case of *Damian Gaynor v. Anthony Carantzas* (No. 15ev001387, State Court of Fulton County) the plaintiff received a \$82,401.44 verdict following a diagnosis of a lumbar disc herniation that required physical therapy and epidural steroid injections with claims of \$3,742.62 in lost wages and \$32,401 in medical expenses. The jury awarded plaintiff \$80,000 in the case of *Laverne Arnold v. Christopher Richardson and American Door & Hardware, Inc.* (No. 11C-03220-S6, State Court of Gwinnett County) where plaintiff was involved in a minor rear end accident and received treatment for aggravation of her pre-existing degenerative cervical and lumbar disc disease, which included epidural injections. Plaintiff incurred \$16,000 in medical expenses. The plaintiff in *Rita Renshaw v. Jorge Cardena and State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Co.* (No.

2013-CV-02539-C, State Court of Clayton County) received a verdict of \$99,256 after incurring \$9,256 in medical expenses following an automobile accident that led to soft tissue cervical and shoulder injuries. In *Joydi Ovalle v. John Doe & State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company*, No. 12 EV 014832 (State Court of Fulton County), the jury awarded a \$150,000 verdict for a soft tissue injury to the neck that required chiropractic care and injections with \$27,000 in medical specials. Federal courts in the northern district acknowledged that the amount in controversy exceeded \$75,000 even though plaintiff's medical bills were less than \$75,000 in the following cases: *Latina Fuller v. Hillstone Restaurant Group, Inc. et al.* (Case No. 1:20-CV-1908-AT) (amount in controversy exceeded \$75,000 based on medical expenses of \$46,479.41); *Laurel Cressman v. Steak and Shake, Inc.* (Case No. 1:18-cv-01806-MHC) (amount in controversy exceeded jurisdictional threshold based on medical expenses of \$53,537.45 and plaintiff's alleged "severe physical injuries"), and *Marlene Deyanira Aviles De Polio et al. v. Burlington Coat Factory Warehouse Corporation*, (Case No. 1:18-cv-5680-TCB) (finding amount in controversy exceeded jurisdictional threshold based on pre-suit demand of \$150,000 and \$29,744.23 in claimed medical specials). In this case, the plaintiff's complaint alleged more

than \$100,000 in treatment expenses and lost wages. Complaint paragraph 18 Accordingly, the evidence shows the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.

7.

The defendant timely filed this notice of removal within 30 days of receipt of the plaintiff's complaint. 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b)(3) & (c)(3). Removal is further timely because this Notice of Removal is filed less than one year after plaintiff commenced the action.

8.

The aforementioned civil action is a civil action over which this Court has original jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a) and is one which may be removed to this Court by the defendant pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1441 and 1446. As noted in the previous paragraphs, this civil action is one in which the matter in controversy exceeds the sum of \$75,000.00 exclusive of interests and costs, and there is complete diversity of citizenship among the parties.

9.

Defendant has attached hereto copies of all pleadings and orders served upon it in this case as Exhibit "A."

10.

Defendant gave written notice of filing of this petition to the plaintiff as set forth in the certificate of service attached to this motion.

11.

Defendant filed a written notice with the clerk of the State Court of Gwinnett County, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit "B."

12.

Defendant has attached hereto copies of all pleadings defendant served in this case as Exhibit "C."

Respectfully submitted,

DREW, ECKL & FARNHAM, LLP

/s/ G. Randall Moody

---

G. RANDALL MOODY

Georgia Bar No. 517702

BRIAN W. JOHNSON

Georgia Bar No. 394745

303 Peachtree Street, N.E.  
Suite 3500  
Atlanta, Georgia 30308  
(404) 885-1400 - phone  
(404) 876-0992 - fax  
[moodyr@deflaw.com](mailto:moodyr@deflaw.com)  
[johnsonb@deflaw.com](mailto:johnsonb@deflaw.com)

**CERTIFICATE OF FONT COMPLIANCE**

Counsel for Defendant hereby certifies that the forgoing has been prepared with one of the font and point selections approved by the Court: Courier New 12 Point.

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

This is to certify that I have this day served a copy of the within and foregoing **NOTICE OF REMOVAL** upon all parties concerned via the court's e-filing system which will automatically send email notification of such filing to the attorneys or parties of record:

J. Craig Lewis  
MONGE & ASSOCIATES  
8205 Dunwoody Place  
Building 19  
Atlanta, Georgia 30350  
craigl@monge.lawyer  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*

This 6<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2023.

Respectfully submitted,

DREW, ECKL & FARNHAM, LLP

/s/ G. Randall Moody

---

G. RANDALL MOODY  
Georgia Bar No. 517702  
BRIAN W. JOHNSON  
Georgia Bar No. 394745

303 Peachtree Street, N.E.  
Suite 3500  
Atlanta, Georgia 30308  
(404) 885-1400 - phone  
(404) 876-0992 - fax  
[moodyr@deflaw.com](mailto:moodyr@deflaw.com)  
[johnsonb@deflaw.com](mailto:johnsonb@deflaw.com)

13549642v1  
32250-254741